# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.	
Jorge Baez-Espinoza			Case Number: CR-17-1165-05-PHX-DLR
		e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. ets are established:(Check one or both,	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the as applicable.)
$\boxtimes$	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
$\boxtimes$		preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the dant pending trial in this case.	
		PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT
	(1)	offense that would have been a fede existed) that is:  □ a crime of violence as defined an offense for which the max □ an offense for which a maxim in	imum sentence is life imprisonment or death. num term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. inor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or erms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offer on release pending trial for a federal,	ense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was state or local offense.
	(3)		od of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
	(4)		lish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of e safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find his presumption.
		Alt	ernative Findings
	(1)	* / * /	pable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense: Fimprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 6(a), or 2332b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
		$\square$ an offense involving a minor victim under section	
×	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
		Alternative Findings	
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
$\boxtimes$	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and th community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
	(4)		
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
$\boxtimes$	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
		The defendant self-reported alcohol abuse and his criminal history includes a 1994 DUI conviction. Although this conviction is dated, the defendant's reports of continuing significant alcohol use demonstrate danger to the community. The defendant also tested positive for marijuana and cocaine use at the time of his pretrial services interview. The defendant's use of these illicit substances further demonstrates that he poses a danger to the community. The nature of the charges and the information the government proffered about the circumstances of the arrest and the defendant's possession of a firearm when arrested also establish danger to the community.	
$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
		<ul> <li>□ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.</li> <li>□ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.</li> </ul>	
		☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
		☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of 15 years' incarceration and a maximum of	
		·	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

#### 

The defendant is a legal permanent resident and reports entering the United States in 1988. However, he has significant ties to the Republic of Mexico, where he lived from 2000 to 2013, his wife and one daughter live in Mexico, and his mother and siblings live there. The defendant has one daughter living in the United States, but the majority of his ties are in Mexico. He reports self-employment and owning a vehicle and a trailer home in the United States. The Court finds that these financial ties are not sufficient to minimize the risk of flight.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge